

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,130		12/15/2003	Bradley W. Smith	14280	3621	
7	590	01/13/2006		EXAMINER		
Sally J. Brow			SPISICH, GEORGE D			
AUTOLIV AS 3350 Airport R		•		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Ogden, UT 84405				3616		
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2000	DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/736,130	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit ·				
		George D. Spisich	3616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-12,15-22,24-27 and 29-35</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-12 and 29-35</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 8.15-22 and 24-27 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	,				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ıf.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/a	re: a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	_ <del>-</del>	ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau	, ,,					
3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	:u.				
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The rail (as in Claim 8) (an element of Figure 4 which does not have a curvilinear translation) is not present and disclosed as in the embodiment claimed in claim 1 (having a curvilinear translation). A pivoting bar (claim 16), the displacement of the embodiment of Figure 4 (having the piston) having a curvilinear translation, the inflation module that stiffens the floor (Claim 24), the inflatable structure comprising metal (Claim 25) (as Examiner understands this is a detail of the embodiment of Fig. 1,2), the thickness of the metal (Claim 26), or having folded sidewalls (Claim 27) does not exist in the embodiment having a piston (from claim 15).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Application/Control Number: 10/736,130

Art Unit: 3616

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an

application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New

Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next

Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent

form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is

required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper

dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The displacement of the seat "approximating" curvilinear translation is already

claimed in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Page 3

Claims 8, 16, 19 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As discussed above, claim 1 claims a curvilinear translation (Figs. 1 and 2) and Claim 15 claims a piston (Figs. 4 and 5). The disclosure does not provide for the characteristics and structure of one embodiment in the other embodiment.

Therefore, Claim 8 is structure of Figure 4, depending from Claim 1 relating to and not present in Figure 1.

Claims 16,19, 24-27 are characteristics or structure of Figure 1 depending from Claim 15 relating to and not present in Figure 4.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8,15-22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 does not properly depend from Claim 1 as discussed above.

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the piston" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3616

Claims 16,19 and 24-27 are unclear. These claims are drawn to a different embodiment than the embodiment in Claim 15.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7, 10-12 and 29-35 are allowed.

Claims 15,17,18 and 20-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George D. Spisich whose telephone number is (571) 272-6676. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 to 6:30 except alt. Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (571) 272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,130

Art Unit: 3616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George D. Spisich January 7, 2006

PAUL N. DICKSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6